

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/910,475 07/20/2001		Simon Blair Dobson	60130-1168/99MRA0172	1631		
26096	7590	07/31/2003				
CARLSO 400 WEST		EY & OLDS, P.C.	EXAMINER			
SUITE 350			SMITH, TYRONE W			
BIRMINGHAM, MI 48009				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2837			
			DATE MAILED: 07/31/2003			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<u> </u>					XIC				
		Application No.		Applicant(s)					
	Office Action Summan	09/910,475		DOBSON, SIMON	BLAIR				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit					
	71 1111 110 0 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	Tyrone W Smith		2837					
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cove	r sheet with the co	rrespondence ad	dress				
- External control con	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL'MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period vere to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, how within the statutory min will apply and will expire	ever, may a reply be timel nimum of thirty (30) days v SIX (6) MONTHS from the	y filed will be considered timely e mailing date of this co	mmunication.				
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 05 J	lune 2003 .							
2a)□		is action is non-fi	nal.						
3) Dispositi									
4)🖂	Claim(s) <u>1-14,16,17 and 19-25</u> is/are pending	in the application	1.						
,	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrav	vn from consider	ation.						
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-14,16,17 and 19-25</u> is/are rejected.								
7) 🗆	Claim(s) is/are objected to.								
8)□ Applicati	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or on Papers	election require	ment.						
9)□ 1	The specification is objected to by the Examiner	•							
į.	he drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accep		ed to by the Exami	ner					
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the								
11) 🗌 7	he proposed drawing correction filed on				,				
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in rep			- by the Examine	•				
12)[] T	he oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	=							
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120								
13) 🗌 .	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35	U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f)					
	☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:		0 () (-, -, (-,.					
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents	have been recei	ved.						
:	2. Certified copies of the priority documents			No.					
;	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).								
* S	ee the attached detailed Office action for a list of	of the certified co	pies not received.						
14)∐ Ad	cknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic	priority under 35	U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional a	application).				
a)	☐ The translation of the foreign language provections. The translation of the foreign language provections.	risional application	n has been receiv	ed.					
Attachment(•	- 00 - 120 di						
2) Notice 3) Information	of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) ation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5\	Interview Summary (P Notice of Informal Pate Other:	TO-413) Paper No(s) ent Application (PTO-	 152)				
S. Patent and Trac PTO-326 (Rev.		on Summary	Par	t of Paper No. 15					

Application/Control Number: 09/910,475

Art Unit: 2837

DETAILED ACTION

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1-14, 16-17 and 19-25 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Tanaka et al (5932931).

Regarding Claims 1, 2, 4, 6-8, 10, 12, 14, 16, 17, and 19-24. Tanaka discloses a vehicle window control system responsive to external force(s) which includes a closure (Figure 1A) movable in aperture and an actuator system/electric motor and gears within (Figure 1A item 5) for moving the closure (column 2 lines 61-67 and column 3 lines 1-15). Tanaka invention further discloses a mounting system (Figure(s) 1A and 1B) having at least one measurement cell/speed sensor (Figure(s) 1A and 1B item 6) for measuring at least one parameter of the closure system (column 3 lines 16-40), the measurement cell/speed sensor generates an output based on one parameter which an output/first control means (column 5 lines 48-54 and column 6 lines 27-30) distinguishes an actuator force applied to the closure by the actuator (column 3 lines 33-67, column 4 lines 1-67 and column 5 lines 31-34) is applied to the closure by an external acceleration (column 3 lines 33-67, column 4 lines 1-67 and column 5 lines 33-67, column 4 lines 1-67 and column 5 lines 33-67, column 4 lines 1-67 and column 5 lines 33-67, column 4 lines 1-67 and column 5 lines 1-27). Both outputs are distinguished as two separate values.

Regarding Claims 3, 5, 9, and 11. Tanaka's invention can be applied, within the motor control arts, to any type of vehicle with door or window closure type capabilities.

Application/Control Number: 09/910,475 Page 3

Art Unit: 2837

Regarding Claim 25. Tanaka discloses a vehicle window control system responsive to external force(s) which includes a closure (Figure 1A) movable in aperture and an actuator system/electric motor and gears within (Figure 1A item 5) for moving the closure (column 2 lines 61-67 and column 3 lines 1-15). Tanaka invention further discloses a mounting system (Figure(s) 1A and 1B) having one measurement cell/speed sensor (Figure(s) 1A and 1B item 6) for measuring at least one parameter of the closure system (column 3 lines 16-40) and a second measurement cell/widow frame sensor (Figure(s) 1A and 1B item 7); the measurement cells generates an output based on parameters which is one of a output/first control means (column 5 lines 48-54 and column 6 lines 27-30) distinguishes an actuator force applied to the closure by the actuator (column 3 lines 33-67, column 4 lines 1-67 and column 6 lines 31-34) applied to the closure by an external acceleration (column 3 lines 33-67, column 4 lines 1-67 and column 5 lines 1-27). Both outputs are distinguished as two separate values.

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claim 15 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tanaka et al (5932931) in view of Tajima et al (5832664).

Tanaka discloses a vehicle window control system responsive to external force(s) which includes a closure (Figure 1A) movable in aperture and an actuator system/electric motor and gears within (Figure 1A item 5) for moving the closure (column 2 lines 61-67 and column 3 lines

Application/Control Number: 09/910,475

Art Unit: 2837

1-15). Tanaka invention further discloses a mounting system (Figure(s) 1A and 1B) having at least one measurement cell/speed sensor (Figure(s) 1A and 1B item 6) for measuring at least one parameter of the closure system (column 3 lines 16-40), the measurement cell/speed sensor generates an output based on one parameter which a one output/first control means (column 5 lines 48-54 and column 6 lines 27-30) distinguishes an actuator force applied to the closure by the actuator (column 3 lines 33-67, column 4 lines 1-67 and column 5 lines 1-27) and an acceleration force/second control circuit (column 5 lines 55-61 and column 6 lines 31-34) applied to the closure by an external acceleration (column 3 lines 33-67, column 4 lines 1-67 and column 5 lines 1-27). Both outputs are distinguished as two separate values. However, Tajima does not disclose two measurement cells within the mounting system in a spaced apart relationship.

Tajima discloses a power window device with a safety device, which includes a motor (Figure(s) 1 and 5 #9), a system for manual movement of the window apparatus with a worm gear (Figure 1 and Figure 5 #1), position sensor (Figure(s) 1 and 5 #8), thrust load sensor (Figure 5 # 24) and a motor drive circuit (Figure 5 #32). The motor and the system for manual movement of the window apparatus with a worm gear perform as an actuator. The position sensor and the thrust sensor considered meeting the claimed measurement cells.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to combine Tanaka's vehicle window control system responsive to external force(s) with Tajima's a power window device with a safety device. The advantage of combing the two would provide system that detects nipping by the window and related member but is not influenced by variations of motor characteristics, thereby enabling better safety control.

Page 5

Examiner's Response

- 5. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-14, 16-17 and 19-25 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection. Examiner's rejection is based on the claims as presented and arguments/explanation of the invention.
- 6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tyrone W Smith whose telephone number is 703-306-5987. The examiner can normally be reached on weekdays from 8:30am to 5:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robert Nappi, can be reached on (703) 308-3370. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-308-3431.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-1782.

Tyrone Smith Patent Examiner

Art Unit 2837

ROBERT E. NAPPI SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800